

## DELIVERABLE EVALUATION

<b>Work package</b>	WP6.1
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Development of the Dissemination & Exploitation Plan
<b>Date of Review</b>	03.05.2019
<b>Reviewer's Name and Organization</b>	Elisabeth Hoff, Norwegian University of Life Sciences

### Format of deliverable

	Yes	No	Comment
Does the document meet the commitments from Application Form?	X		
Does the document contain: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X		
Does the document contain all the necessary official logos of the project and the Erasmus+ program?	X		
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X		
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X		
Does the spelling, grammar etc. of the document is appropriate?	X		Some grammatical errors noticed

Comment:

University of Nis



**Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management  
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders**

**Contents of deliverable**

	Grading	Very Poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Clarity of the contents of the document		1	2	3	4	5
How does the content of the document match the description in the Application Form?		1	2	3	4	5
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?		1	2	3	4	5

 Comment:
 

---

**Conclusion**

	Yes	No	Comment
Document accepted, no changes required	X		
Document accepted but changes required			
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented			

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.